

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1459
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/664,372		09/17/2003	Timothy P. Axe	29953-209569	4566		
26694	7590	01/11/2006	EXAMINER				
VENABI	E LLP		WEAVER, SUE A				
P.O. BOX	34385						
WASHING	GTON,	DC 20045-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3727			

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
	·	10/664,3	372	AXE ET AL.	-	
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit		
		Sue A. W	/eaver	3727		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the provision of the	LING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ication. ory period will apply and v I, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tir will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 October 20	<b>05</b> .			
2a)□		non-final.				
<i>'</i> —		· <del></del> -	ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
٠,٠			x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
	·	•	•			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the app	olication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 40-42 is/are	withdrawn from co	nsideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
. —	The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 September</u>		accepted or b)⊠ object	ted to by the Exa	miner.	
,,,	Applicant may not request that any objection					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				FR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b					
•	•	,				
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			) (d) == (f)		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(a) or (1).		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		,			
	1. Certified copies of the priority do					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			ed in this Nationa	l Stage	
	application from the Internationa					
* :	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the cer	tified copies not receive	ed.		
			÷			
Attachmer						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	O 048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or P		5) Notice of Informal		O-152)	
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/17/03,9/17/04</u> .	•	6) Other:			
S. Patent and	Trademark Office			V		

Art Unit: 3727

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group I in the reply filed on 10/27/05 is acknowledged.

Claims 40-42 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/27/05.

In response to applicants' arguments that claims 1, 13, 21 and 31 are generic, it should be noted that claims 1 and 21 call for an organization which includes a shoulder and base not included in claims 13 and 31. Therefore the claims cannot be considered generic but sub generic. However since the claims all read on the elected specie claims 1-39 will be examined.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the angle claimed in claim 6 and the longitudinal channel being parallel to the axis as claimed in claims 7 and 38, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,

Art Unit: 3727

and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

### **Replacement Drawing Sheets**

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

#### **Annotated Drawing Sheets**

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing

Application/Control Number: 10/664,372 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

### **Timing of Corrections**

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It isn't clear how applicants consider the longitudinal channels to be parallel to an axis of the container when it has an hour glass shaped surface of revolution as claimed in claim 1. This relationship doesn't appear to be shown.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 32 and thus 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It appears that an hourglass shaped common surface of revolution in claims 32 is a double inclusion of that set forth in claim 1.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.
- (g)(1) during the course of an interference conducted under section 135 or section 291, another inventor involved therein establishes, to the extent permitted in section 104, that before such person's invention thereof the invention was made by such other inventor and not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed, or (2) before such person's invention thereof, the invention was made in this country by another inventor who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention under this subsection, there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) and (g) because the applicant did not invent the claimed subject matter. The declaration filed by applicant Axe leaves the question of inventorship open and unresolved. It appears that applicant Zhang is not in fact an inventor of the present claimed invention.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3727

Claims 21, 23, 31 and 37-39, insofar as they are definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider '548

Schneider teaches a blow molded plastic container with axial channels at 20 and circumferential channels at 18, as claimed

7. Claim 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuji et al '593.

Tsuji et al teach another plastic container with circumferential channels at 17 and axial channels at 14, as claimed,

8. Claims 21, 23, 31 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al '361.

Jones et al teach a molded collapsible beverage container whish is considered to have a sidewall with both circumferential channels at 24 and axial channels with lands 25 defined between them. Note that the lands are defined as quadrilaterals and therefore could be rectangular.

9. Claims 1-5, 9, 10, 13-18, 24-28 and 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference as applied to claims 21 and 31 above, and further in view of Higuchi '881.

To have optionally formed the collapsible container with an hourglass shaped surface of revolution in the manner taught by Higuchi so that it can be collapsed from either end would have been obvious.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,372 Page 7

Art Unit: 3727

10. Claims 12 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1 and 21 above, and further in view of Nahill et al.

To have formed the container with a wall thickness of 0.008 to 0.016" as is well known in the art would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Nahill et al.

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents show other wall features.
- 12. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

# **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
on (Date)	
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	
Registration Number:	

Application/Control Number: 10/664,372 Page 8

Art Unit: 3727

#### **Certificate of Transmission**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. () on  (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Registration Number:

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is (571) 272-4548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 6 to 4:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUE A. WEAVER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3200